

June 8, 2022

Administrator Chiquita Brooks-LaSure
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Department of Health and Human Services
Attention: CMS-3347-P
P.O. Box 8010
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Re: CMS-1765-P; Request for Information on Revising the Requirements for Long-Term Care Facilities To Establish Mandatory Minimum Staffing Levels

Submitted electronically: www.regulations.gov

Dear Administrator Brooks-LaSure:

The Long Term Care Community Coalition (LTCCC) is a national non-profit organization dedicated to improving care, quality of life, and dignity for residents in nursing homes and other long-term residential care settings. For over 30 years, LTCCC has conducted policy studies and quantitative analyses of nursing home laws, standards, and their implementation. In addition to its work on systemic nursing home issues, LTCCC works closely with residents, families, and their advocates to improve care.

We respectfully submit the following comments in response to the request for information on revising the Requirements of Participation for nursing homes to establish numerical minimum staffing standards.

Foundation for Our Comments

Nursing home residents are among the most vulnerable members of our society. With half of all seniors needing nursing home care at some point, the safety and dignity of residents is of concern to every family in the United States. Nevertheless, widespread and serious problems persist in nursing homes across the country.

Though the federal Nursing Home Reform Law requires that every nursing home resident be provided with the services needed to attain and maintain their “highest practicable physical, mental, and psychosocial well-being,” too many nursing homes fail to provide sufficient staffing to make that possible. In fact, the industry’s own self-reported data indicate that about 75% of nursing homes persistently fail to even provide sufficient nursing staff to meet their residents’ basic clinical needs, no matter dignified and humane treatment or carry out the essential infection control protocols that, as the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated, are essential.

Concrete, clear, and appropriate minimum staffing standards are needed now to *finally* realize the promise of the Nursing Home Reform Law in the lives of residents and the vast majority of American families who will depend on nursing home services at some time or another. They are needed to ensure that vulnerable residents receive care and services that are (at a minimum)

humane and safe, and that American taxpayers get value for the billions of dollars that we pay every year for nursing home care.

Nursing home industry lobbyists, and many of the providers who have submitted comments to this RFI, argue that requiring nursing homes to provide sufficient staffing is unfair or unattainable (or both). This is only true if we are willing to accept as inevitable the current business model for nursing homes, in which facilities can take in residents for whom they have no intention of providing promised care or humane conditions with impunity. Not all facilities operate in this manner (of course), but in the absence of specific and appropriate minimum staffing requirements far too many do. In light of both the catastrophic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the increasing corporatization of nursing home care in recent years, meaningful staffing standards and other reforms are needed now, not to punish nursing homes but, rather, to ensure that they are viable and appropriate places to live and work now and in the future.

Following are our specific comments in response to the questions in the RFI.

1. Is there evidence (other than the evidence reviewed in this RFI) that establishes appropriate minimum threshold staffing requirements for both nurses and other direct care workers? To what extent do older studies remain relevant? What are the benefits of adequate staffing in LTC facilities to residents and quality of care?

There is substantial evidence in support of appropriate minimum threshold requirements for care staff. In addition, every study (of which we are aware) indicates that higher staffing results in improved care, safety, and conditions for residents.

- Evidence:
 - A 2001 study from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) found a clear association between nurse staffing ratios and nursing home quality of care. It established the importance of having a minimum of 0.75 Registered Nurse (RN) hours per resident day (hprd), 0.55 Licensed Vocational Nurse (LVN)/Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN) hprd, and 2.8 (to 3.0) Certified Nursing Assistance (CNA) hprd, for a total of 4.1 nursing hprd to prevent resident harm and jeopardy.¹
 - The minimum standard was verified in a 2004 observational study,² and later confirmed in a simulation study finding that between 2.8 and 3.6 CNA hprd were needed to ensure adequate care to residents with varying staffing care needs.³
 - Some experts have recommended higher minimum staffing standards (a total of 4.55 hprd), with adjustments for resident acuity (nursing need) or case-mix.⁴
- Benefits:
 - Many studies have found a strong relationship between nursing staffing levels and improved quality of care in terms of both process and outcome measures.^{5 6 7 8 9}

- The strongest relationships are between RN staffing levels and quality measures.¹⁰
- Studies have shown that higher nurse staffing levels are associated with improved resident outcomes, including: better functional improvement,^{11 12 13} reduced incontinence,¹⁴ urinary tract infections and catheterizations,^{15 16 17 18} pain,¹⁹ pressure ulcers,^{20 21 22 23 24 25} weight loss and dehydration,^{26 27 28 29} use of antipsychotics,^{30 31} restraint use,^{32 33 34} infections,^{35 36} falls,^{37 38} rehospitalization and emergency department use,^{39 40 41 42} missed care,^{43 44} adverse outcomes,⁴⁵ and mortality rates.^{46 47} Higher staffing levels are strongly associated with fewer deficiencies.^{48 49 50}
- Low staffing levels are associated with higher COVID-19 infections and deaths. Insufficient staffing leads to greater infection control violations which lead to greater risk of spread and death. Infection control deficiencies are more common at homes with fewer nurses and aides than at facilities with higher staffing levels, based on an analysis of data from the past two regular inspection periods.⁵¹

2. What resident and facility factors should be considered in establishing a minimum staffing requirement for LTC facilities? How should the facility assessment of resident needs and acuity impact the minimum staffing requirement?

Resident factors are the most important determinant of what the staffing levels should be. The minimum staffing levels should be established for residents with the lowest care needs, assessed using the MDS 3.0 assessment forms.

- Nursing facilities are expected to increase the staffing levels as their resident care needs or acuity increases (the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS) adjustment for resident acuity is based on this principal).⁵² Many state Medicaid programs also pay based on resident acuity.⁵³
- All facilities regardless of size should have a full-time director of nursing seven days a week (rather than the current five days required) who is a registered nurse. As facilities grow in size, the administrative nursing requirements should increase commensurate with the size.
- A recent study focused on CNAs, which provide 90% of bedside care, found that nursing homes need to adjust CNA staffing for acuity.⁵⁴ Average nurse aide staffing should vary between 2.8 hprd for the lowest level of resident acuity to 3.6 hprd for the highest level of resident acuity to maintain a rate of care omissions below 10 percent.
- Federal regulations require nursing homes to conduct a facility self-assessment regarding what resources and qualified staff are needed to meet patient needs and to carry out all functions at the facility level. This analysis must consider: “the number, acuity and diagnoses of the facility’s resident population” and must be updated at least annually (42 C.F.R. §483.70(e)).

3. Is there evidence of the actual cost of implementing recommended thresholds, that accounts for current staffing levels as well as projected savings from reduced hospitalizations and other adverse events?

- A 2022 study comparing actual NH staffing with the 2001 CMS minimum staffing standard found that 95 percent of NHs failed to meet all the recommended minimum staffing levels (4.10 hours per resident day (hprd)).⁵⁵
- They estimated that the cost to attain the recommended minimum staffing was \$7.25 billion based on current wage rates and stated that this cost represents only **4.2% of the \$172.2 billion** of national NH expenditures in 2019.
- Bowlblis (2022), using data from the 1995/97 Staff Time Measurement (STM) studies as a benchmark for minimum staffing, found that 60 percent did not meet the minimum for total nursing staff. He estimated the costs of meeting staffing minimums would be \$500,000 on average for those not meeting the standard or a total of \$4.9 billion annually.⁵⁶
- Though these authors argued that many Medicaid reimbursement rates are too low for nursing homes to increase their staffing without additional funds, **there is widespread evidence that most nursing homes have adequate resources to increase their staffing levels without additional Medicaid resources.**^{57 58 59}
 - A study recently showed that most major publicly traded NH companies were highly profitable, even during the pandemic.⁶⁰
 - Another study of Ensign, the second largest publicly-traded nursing home chain in the US, made high profits during the COVID pandemic while keeping its staffing levels low and having large COVID-19 resident infections and deaths.⁶¹
 - In a recent lawsuit by operators in New York State (seeking to overturn the state's direct care spending requirement), the industry itself admitted that the 239 nursing homes represented had over \$500 million in excess annual income.⁶²
This excess income could have paid the annual salary and benefits of nearly 5,600 additional full-time Registered Nurses.

4. Is there evidence that resources that could be spent on staffing are instead being used on expenses that are not necessary to quality patient care?

Yes. Studies have found that for-profit chains and non-chains often have lower staffing and poor quality:

- For-profit nursing home companies generally have poorer quality indices and deficiencies (for violations of regulations) than nonprofit and government facilities.^{63 64}
- Studies show staffing levels, as a quality indicator, are lower in for-profit nursing homes.
- For-profit owners have been found to frequently cut nurse staffing, especially RN staffing, and reduce wages, benefits, and pensions to maximize profits, compared to nonprofit and government facilities, which provide higher staffing and quality care.⁶⁵

- For-profit nursing homes reported an average of 16 percent fewer staff than nonprofits, after accounting for differences in resident needs in 2017. There was 1 RN for every 28 residents in nonprofits and 1 for every 43 in for-profit nursing homes.⁶⁶
- Homes with the highest profit margins have been found to have the worst quality in the US.⁶⁷
- Nursing home chains have more quality and compliance problems than other nursing homes.⁶⁸ The largest for-profit chains have lower RN and total nurse staffing hours than non-profit facilities and government facilities and have more deficiencies, which is not surprising considering their low staffing and high acuity levels.^{69 70}
- Non-profit nursing homes (compared to for-profits) have fewer 30-day hospitalizations and greater improvement in mobility, pain, and functioning.⁷¹
- Private equity (PE) companies have been found to increase costs and reduce staffing. Private equity firms with funds from private investors use loans to acquire nursing homes, usually with the idea of investment for 3-7 years, during which the company seeks to make profits when it sells the company. A GAO study found that private equity investments resulted in increasing the costs for facilities and capital, along with higher profit margins compared to other for-profit or nonprofit homes.⁷²
- A recent study of PE buyouts of nursing homes from 2000 to 2017 showed robust evidence of declines in resident health and compliance with care standards related to cuts to front-line nursing staff and higher bed utilization compared to acquisitions by non-PE corporates and chains.⁷³ Evidence suggests that staffing by PE companies varies based on geographic competition.⁷⁴
- For-profit nursing home companies have developed increasingly complex corporate ownership structures, with most having a separate property company from the operating company.^{75 76 77} Some nursing homes have as many as 7-8 layers of companies in control. The complexity of interlocking corporations is designed to protect operating companies from litigation by moving assets into a separate company. A recent study of Ensign, a large chain, showed that the highly profitable publicly traded company had 430 corporate entities to manage its 228 nursing homes and senior facilities.⁷⁸ The complexity is also designed to shield parent companies from liability and reduce regulatory oversight.
- Growth in related-party transactions hides profits. By contracting with related-party individuals and organizations for services that include management services, nursing and therapy services, lease agreements, and loans, facilities are able to siphon money out of the facilities as expenses and hide the profits through these third-party contractors.
- A study by Kaiser Health News found that nearly three-quarters of US nursing homes (more than 11,000) have related-party business transactions. Many homes contract out basic functions like management or rent their own building from a sister corporation.
- Contracts with related companies accounted for \$11 billion of nursing home spending in 2015 — a tenth of their costs — according to Medicare cost reports.
- Homes that did business with related-party companies employed 8 percent fewer nurses and aides, were 9 percent more likely to have hurt residents or put them in

immediate jeopardy of harm, and had 53 substantiated complaints for every 1,000 beds, compared with 32 per 1,000 beds at independent homes.⁷⁹

- For-profit nursing homes utilize related corporations more frequently than nonprofits. For-profit homes with related party contracts had 10 percent higher fines, received 24 percent more substantiated complaints from residents, and had 4 percent lower overall staffing than at independent for-profits.⁸⁰

5. What factors impact a facility’s capability to successfully recruit and retain nursing staff? What strategies could facilities employ to increase nurse staffing levels, including successful strategies for recruiting and retaining staff? What risks are associated with these strategies, and how could nursing homes mitigate these risks?

Challenges to attaining and retaining sufficient staff with the appropriate competencies are the result of poor and dangerous working conditions for nursing staff (both professional and paraprofessional) and low pay. Too many operators in the nursing home industry operate as if there are an endless supply of potential nursing staff. The result is widespread exploitation of care staff (the majority of whom are women and people of color) and the persistence of an acute shortage of staffing that endangers and degrades both residents and staff. We believe that the implementation of meaningful minimum staffing standards will foster better care, better working conditions and, as a result, a more stable nursing home industry.

- One of the most significant barriers to successful recruitment and retention of staff is job quality, which can be measured by facility staff turnover. CMS estimates that the average staff turnover for a nursing home is 52.6% annually.⁸¹
- Studies show that high turnover in nursing homes leads to poorer outcomes for nursing home residents.⁸²

In order to retain and attract new workers, facilities must address the issues that lead to turnover. Most commonly, high turnover is the result of poor wages and benefits, lack of training, poor management, lack of career advancement, and workloads.⁸³ By directly addressing these issues, nursing homes will reduce turnover, attract new workers, and, importantly, provide better quality care to residents.

Strategies to Address Recruitment and Retention Issues

A. Improve wages & benefits

- A Leading Age study estimated raising wages of CNAs would reduce turnover and stabilize the workforce.⁸⁴ The Leading Age report estimated that costs associated with increased wages would be offset by gains in productivity.
- All direct care staff must be paid a living and competitive wage.
- Nursing homes must be required to provide health insurance and paid leave benefits.

B. Improve training

In addition to leading to high turnover, inadequate training results in poor health outcomes for residents.

- Tailored and ongoing training programs improve job satisfaction and reduce turnover.⁸⁵
- CNAs reporting high-quality training are more likely to work in states requiring additional initial training hours and were more satisfied with their jobs than those with low-quality training.⁸⁶
- [A 2008 study by the Institute of Medicine \(IOM\)](#)⁸⁷ found the current minimum federal training requirements for CNAs to be inadequate, leading not only to poor health outcomes for residents, but also increased turnover in staff. The study recommended significant increases in the training requirement to at least 120 hours.⁸⁸
- A recent report from the National Academy of Science, Engineering, and Medicine came to the same conclusion as the 2008 IOM report.⁸⁹ The report also recommended that state and federal government should provide free access to entry-level and continuing education training programs, and that nursing homes should pay workers for attending these trainings.
- CMS should raise the required minimum CNA certification training hours from 75 to at least 120 hours.
- Direct care staff should have access to free entry level training and continuing education classes. The time spent by direct care staff attending trainings should be paid for by nursing homes.

C. Improve avenues for career advancement and CNA empowerment

There is little opportunity for career advancement in nursing homes, particularly for people of color who are more likely to be employed as CNAs. By providing workers, particularly CNAs, with opportunities for career growth, nursing homes will increase retention.

- 58% of CNAs are people of color.⁹⁰ However, the proportion of people of color in higher-level positions, such as LPNs or RNs, decreases as the educational requirements increase.⁹¹
- Empowerment of CNAs and career opportunities can reduce staff turnover and increase care quality.⁹²
- CNA empowerment was a main focus of the report issued by the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine, which found that increasing opportunities for CNAs could lead to a reduction in staff turnover. The report noted that in the 2016 revision of the federal nursing home regulations CMS, recognizing the central role that CNAs have in their residents' care and life, required that they be part of the interdisciplinary team and involved in care planning.⁹³
- Nursing homes must provide more career advancement opportunities for direct care staff through sponsoring training and education and providing an environment that encourages, rather than discourages, staff retention. None of these are possible in the absence of sufficient staffing.

CNAs must be incorporated into care planning and given a more prominent role in care teams assigned to provide care to residents. These goals are, also, out of reach in the absence of sufficient staffing.

6. What should CMS do if there are facilities that are unable to obtain adequate staffing despite good faith efforts to recruit workers? How would CMS define and assess what constitutes a good faith effort to recruit workers? How would CMS account for job quality, pay and benefits, and labor protections in assessing whether recruitment efforts were adequate and in good faith?

When facilities are unable to obtain adequate staff, CMS **must** require the facility to cease new admissions until the facility is able to meet the staffing requirement. Inadequate staffing is a threat to the health and well-being of residents. **The admission of residents when a facility lacks sufficient staffing to meet their basic needs is, fundamentally, a cruel and inhumane policy that flouts the most essential federal requirements for nursing homes. Nursing homes should not be permitted to operate like warehouses for vulnerable individuals, in which residents are admitted solely (or largely) on the basis of the availability of an empty bed.**

We question why and for what reason would CMS apply a good faith effort assessment? If CMS is suggesting that it may apply a good faith determination as to whether a minimum staffing standard should be waived, we strongly oppose any such waiver. Alternatively, if CMS is considering applying **a good faith measure in determining the magnitude of a penalty** for a time-limited failure to meet a minimum staffing standard, we suggest the following principles:

- CMS must have a bright line, empirical good faith metric, which includes not only documenting efforts to hire new staff but efforts to retain staff through the investment in quality jobs.
 - A facility documenting that the wages it pays RNs, LPNs, and CNAs is comparable to wages paid to RNs and LPNs in hospitals in that region;
 - A facility documenting that it is offering wages at a rate 15% higher than other facilities in the region and that a facility is providing comparable ancillary benefits, such as healthcare, paid leave, and retirement benefits;
 - A facility has made efforts to recruit staff, including through advertisement and relationships with local training agencies.
- CMS must never waive any minimum staffing standard. When facilities accept and/or retain residents for whom they do not have sufficient staff to provide appropriate care they are, needless to say, putting residents at clinical and psycho-social jeopardy.

7. How should nursing staff turnover be considered in establishing a staffing standard? How should CMS consider the use of short-term (that is, travelling or agency) nurses?

Turnover is the primary barometer of how nursing homes are treating staff. The use of agency staff can be an inefficient use of a facility's resources (including when a facility contracts with its own agency for staffing). Both staff turnover and the use of agency staff undermine quality of care for residents and working conditions for staff. However, while these issues are important components of any discussion on improving nursing home care, we believe that they should be treated separately through a separate regulation. For instance, CMS could consider establishing a maximum turnover rate per quarter, above which facilities will be subject to a penalty.

8. What fields and professions should be considered to count towards a minimum staffing requirement? Should RNs, LPNs/LVAs, and CNAs be grouped together under a single nursing care expectation? How or when should they be separated out? Should mental health workers be counted as direct care staff?

- Only direct care nursing staff should be included in the minimum staffing standard. The landmark 2001 CMS study looked at only RNs, LPNs, and CNAs to determine what staffing levels were necessary to ensure care quality was not compromised.
- There is no evidence that any other nursing home staff, including feeding assistants, social workers, and other mental health workers are able to replace the care provided by RNs, LPNs, and CNAs. While we support the creation of standards for social worker and other mental health staff, those standards should be separate from a minimum staffing standard.
- CMS must not group together RNs, LPNs, and CNAs into a single care expectation. CMS itself has acknowledged the important role that each nursing group provides. That is why in 2001, and in most studies since then, minimum recommendations have been made based on each nursing category. Failure to do this will result in facilities using the least costly nursing options, CNAs, to fulfill the minimum obligation. This was proven true in states that failed to designate hours per nursing category in their minimum standards.⁹⁴

9. How should administrative nursing time be considered in establishing a staffing standard? Should a standard account for a minimum time for administrative nursing, in addition to direct care? If so, should it be separated out?

- Administrative time should be counted separately from minimum resident care standards. The purpose of hprd standards is to ensure residents receive CNA and professional nursing care to prevent illness or death related to lack of direct care.⁹⁵ Direct care involves direct contact with residents to “provide care and services to allow residents to attain or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental, and psychosocial well-being.”⁹⁶

- Current regulations allow Directors of Nursing (DON) to serve as charge nurse only if there are sixty or less occupied beds.⁹⁷ Meanwhile, several states with minimum staffing standards require an additional RN if the RN on staff is subject to administrative duties.⁹⁸ Current staffing requirements recognize that administrative duties can reduce time spent on, or overseeing, resident care. Thus, any additional minimum staffing requirement should not include administrative duties as part of direct resident care.

10. What should a minimum staffing requirement look like, that is, how should it be measured? Should there be some combination of options? For example, options could include establishing minimum 1 nurse HPRD, establishing minimum nurse to resident ratios, requiring that an RN be present in every facility either 24 hours a day or 16 hours a day, and requiring that an RN be on-call whenever an RN was not present in the facility. Should it include any non-nursing requirements? Is there data that supports a specific option?

- We recommend using both minimum nursing hprd and nurse to resident ratios. As previously stated, hprd clarifies the direct care hours residents require to meet their basic needs and prevent negative outcomes.⁹⁹ However, solely relying on hprd is confusing for staff, residents, and families in determining whether a facility has adequate staffing. Staffing ratios (i.e., RN to residents) can be a consumer-friendly indicator of staffing capacity.
- The requirement that facilities have 24-hour onsite RN coverage is essential. By definition, in order to qualify for nursing home services, an individual must need skilled nursing care. Nursing facilities house populations with highest needs and complex medical issues which require RN experience.¹⁰⁰ Additionally, as noted in Question 1, higher levels of RN care are associated with better health outcomes for residents.¹⁰¹
 - Larger nursing homes should be required to have higher numbers of 24-hour RN coverage in the building. A five hundred bed nursing home requires different numbers of RNs available to oversee resident care than does a 100 bed nursing home or a 60 bed nursing home. RN presence in the building on evening, night, and weekend shifts must be sufficient to provide meaningful and effective professional care and monitoring.
 - Additionally, nurse aides provide the bulk of resident care but may need supervision for certain tasks like medication administration or wound care. If an RN is not available to provide supervision around the clock, then residents will suffer from delayed care.
 - Due to CMS waiver and workforce shortage, many nurse aides did not receive full 75 hour+ training during the COVID pandemic – which magnifies the need for a full-time RN to support aides when there is gap in knowledge/training/experience.
- It is unclear exactly what non-nursing duties would entail. As previously stated, minimum hprd staffing standards should not include administrative duties. While RNs and nurse aides should have training on non-nursing requirements like residents' rights and cultural competency, those should not count as part of residents' direct care hours.

- There is generally greater confusion and lower morale when nursing duties are conflated with non-nursing responsibilities.¹⁰²
- However, given increasing complexities of residents, non-nursing specialists, like social workers, should be included in nursing home staff, but not counted towards nursing or direct care hours.

11. How should any new quantitative direct care staffing requirement interact with existing qualitative staffing requirements? We currently require that facilities have “sufficient nursing staff” based on a facility assessment and patient needs, including but not limited to the number of residents, resident acuity, range of diagnoses, and the content of care plans. We welcome comments on how facilities have implemented this qualitative requirement, including both successes and challenges and if or how this standard should work concurrently with a minimum staffing requirement. We would also welcome comments on how State laws limiting or otherwise restricting overtime for health care workers would interact with minimum staffing requirements.

- Any quantitative staffing requirement should operate as the underpinning of the long-standing requirement that nursing homes have “sufficient staff” to ensure that every resident is able to attain and maintain their highest practicable physical, emotional, and psycho-social well-being. This is how the assessment of sufficient staffing has operated on the state level (in states that have a minimum staffing standard). The minimum staffing is a quantitative requirement, but it does not excuse the qualitative requirement called for in the Nursing Home Reform Law, the Requirements for Participation, and sub-regulatory guidance.
- Overtime restrictions should not be considered for purposes of establishing minimum staffing standards. Facilities should recruit and train a high number of direct care workers without relying on a small number of workers with significant overtime. Overworked nursing home staff results in high rates of burnout and turnover, in addition to physical injury.¹⁰³

12. Have minimum staffing requirements been effective at the State level? What were facilities’ experiences transitioning to these requirements? We note that States have implemented a variety of these options, discussed in section VIII.A. of this proposed rule, and would welcome comment on experiences with State minimum staffing requirements.

- Yes, minimum staffing requirements have been effective both in raising staffing levels and the quality of care in facilities.¹⁰⁴ However, it is important to note that virtually all of the state staffing requirements are very low and subject to lax state enforcement. In our view, due to these two factors, they have been most effective in reducing the number of extremely poorly staffed facilities in those states.
- Quality of care improves from minimum staffing requirements primarily because residents experience fewer adverse outcomes (fewer pressure sores, restraints, and deficiencies, less extensive COVID outbreaks, and less mortality overall) and experience

more positive outcomes (restoration of functioning, increased nutritional intake, and increased vaccination rates).¹⁰⁵

Minimum staffing levels must set a standard for each type of staff (CNAs, LVNs, and RNs); otherwise, minimum levels are achieved by hiring the least expensive, lowest skilled staff.¹⁰⁶

13. Are any of the existing State approaches particularly successful? Should CMS consider adopting one of the existing successful State approaches or specific parts of successful State approaches? Are there other approaches to consider in determining adequate direct care staffing? We invite information regarding research on these approaches which indicate an association of a particular approach or approaches and the quality of care and/or quality of life outcomes experienced by resident, as well as any efficiencies that might be realized through such approaches.

- None of the state approaches have been successful in guaranteeing that all facilities provide sufficient staff to meet the needs of all residents because all but one set the minimum below what is needed.¹⁰⁷
- It is a mistake to rely exclusively on a broad hprd where RN and LVN hours are treated the same as CNA hours.
- Prohibiting paid feeding assistants as substitutes for CNAs raises the quality of care.

14. The IOM has recommended in several reports that we require the presence of at least one RN within every facility at all times. Should CMS concurrently require the presence of an RN 24 hours a day 7 days a week? We also invite comment on the costs and benefits of a mandatory 24-hour RN presence, including savings from improved resident outcomes, as well as any unintended consequences of implementing this requirement.

- Yes, a requirement for 24/7 RNs is long overdue. There is almost universal agreement in support.^{108 109} As noted above, 24/7 RN requirements should reflect the size of a facility, starting with one RN in the building at all times and increasing for larger facilities.
- Numerous studies have demonstrated that more RNs leads to better care. Though CNAs provide the substantial majority of bedside care, it is critical to recognize that the strongest positive relationships between staffing and positive resident outcomes are in RN staffing.¹¹⁰
- The care improvements for residents related to increased RN staffing saves Medicare and Medicaid money by reducing hospitalizations and the need for other expensive medical interventions.¹¹¹

15. Are there unintended consequences we should consider in implementing a minimum staffing ratio? How could these be mitigated? For example, how would a minimum staffing ratio impact and/or account for the development of innovative care options, particularly in smaller, more home-like settings, for a subset of residents who might benefit from and be appropriate for such a setting? Are there concerns about shifting

non-nursing tasks to nursing staff in order to offset additions to nursing staff by reducing other categories of staff?

- An unintended consequence has been the substitution of lesser trained licensed nurses (licensed practical nurses substituted for registered nurses) in states with laws not specifying specific ratios of RNs, LPNs, and CNAs. For example, in Ohio and California, ratios for RNs, LPNS, and RNs were not separately mandated. As a result, RN hours decreased, while less skilled nursing staff hours increased.¹¹² To prevent these unintended consequences, nurse staffing ratios must identify the different categories of nursing staff. In addition, nursing homes must not be allowed to shift non-nursing tasks to nurses or to reduce the work hours of non-nursing staff, such as housekeeping, food services workers, and activities.
- There is also evidence that alternative care settings are able to meet minimum staffing standards. For instance, researchers of the Green House Model¹¹³ found that even though Shahbazim performed non-nursing tasks, “residents in GH [Green House] homes received approximately 0.4 more hprds (24 minutes) of direct care time from a Shahbazim than residents in traditional SNF settings.” Their conclusion that “The GH model allows for expanded responsibilities of CNAs in indirect care activities and more time in direct care activities and engaging directly with residents” suggests that alternative care settings, like Green Houses, can meet any minimum staffing standards that CMS might enact, without any need for accommodation.¹¹⁴

16. Does geographic disparity in workforce numbers make a minimum staffing requirement challenging in rural and underserved areas? If yes, how can that be mitigated?

- A minimum nurse staffing standard must be met by all facilities. For example, someone who needs nursing home care in a rural setting, or a low-income urban setting, does not have different needs than someone in a suburban setting. It would be extremely problematic, from both clinical and equity perspectives, to promulgate policies that resulted in disparate treatment.
- While additional efforts are needed to increase the numbers of nurses working in rural and underserved areas, these efforts should not undermine the need for appropriate staffing levels as a mandate for all facilities, regardless of location. This issue of challenges in recruiting and retaining staff in rural and underserved areas is significant, but not new. It was addressed nearly 40 years ago by the Institute of Medicine, whose recommendations included educational outreach (including educational loan repayment programs, strengthening local educational opportunities for people from underserved communities who are more likely to continue living in those communities), upgrading existing staff in nursing homes, and ensuring appropriate payments.¹¹⁵
- In interviews with research staff, administrators and directors of nursing in rural facilities with higher staffing levels “attributed their success to having a good reputation, being flexible, and offering individual growth opportunities (e.g., school reimbursement).” The study concluded that complex labor pool challenges “require

complex solutions”: “better wages, better health insurance, and better pensions, as well as improved training, supervision, and mentoring.”¹¹⁶

17. What constitutes “an unacceptable level of risk of harm?” What outcomes and care processes should be considered in determining the level of staffing needed?

- We do not understand the first part of this question. As noted earlier, facilities are required (and paid) to only accept and retain residents for whom they have sufficient staffing and supplies to meet their clinical and psycho-social needs.

Thank you, again, for the opportunity to provide comments on this important proposed rule.

Sincerely,

Richard Mollot

Richard Mollot, Executive Director
Long Term Care Community Coalition
209 West 29th Street, Suite 6256
New York, NY 10001

info@ltccc.org

www.nursinghome411.org

¹ Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Abt Associates Inc. *Appropriateness of Minimum Nurse Staffing Ratios in Nursing Homes. Report to Congress: Phase II Final*. Volumes I–III. Baltimore, MD: CMS, 2001.

² Schnelle, J.F., Schroyer, L.D., Saraf, A.A., Simmons, S.F. Determining nurse aide staffing requirements to provide care based on resident workload: A discrete event simulation model. *JAMDA*. 2016; 17:970-977.

³ Schnelle, JF, Simmons, SF, Harrington, C, Cadogan, M, Garcia, E, Bates-Jensen, B. Relationship of nursing home staffing to quality of care? *Health Serv Res*, 2004; 39 (2):225-250.

-
- ⁴ Harrington, C, Kovner, C, Kayser-Jones, J, Berger, S, Mohler, M, Burke R. et al. Experts recommend minimum nurse staffing standards for nursing facilities in the United States. *Gerontologist*, 2000: 40 (1):1-12.
- ⁵ Castle, N. (2008). Nursing home caregiver staffing levels and quality of care: A literature review. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 27: 375-405.
- ⁶ Dellefield, M.E., Castle, N.G., McGilton, K.S., & Spilsbury, K. (2015). The relationship between registered nurses and nursing home quality: An integrative review (2008-2014). *Nursing Economic\$,* 33 (2):95-108 and 116.
- ⁷ Castle, N.G. & Anderson, R.A. (2011). Caregiver staffing in nursing homes and their influence on quality of care. *Medical Care*, 49(6):545-552.
- ⁸ Castle, N., & Engberg, J. (2008). Further examination of the influence of caregiver staffing levels on nursing home quality. *Gerontologist*, 48: 464-76.
- ⁹ Schnelle, J.F., Simmons, S.F., Harrington, C., Cadogan, M., Garcia, E., & Bates-Jensen, B. (2004). Relationship of nursing home staffing to quality of care? *Health Services Research*, 39 (2):225-250.
- ¹⁰ Dellefield, M.E., Castle, N.G., McGilton, K.S., & Spilsbury, K. (2015). The relationship between registered nurses and nursing home quality: An integrative review (2008-2014). *Nursing Economic\$,* 33 (2):95-108 and 116.
- ¹¹ Horn, S.D., Sharkey, S.S., Hudak, S., Smout, R.J., Quinn, C.C., Yody, B. and Fleshner, I (2010). Beyond CMS Quality Measure Adjustments: Identifying Key Resident and Nursing Home Facility Factors Associated with Quality Measures. *J. American Medical Directors Association*. 11 (7):500-5.
- ¹² Alexander, G.L. 2008. An Analysis of Nursing Home Quality Measures and Staffing. *Quality Management in Health Care*. 17 (3):242-51.
- ¹³ Horn, S.D., Buerhaus, P., Bergstrom, N., Smout, R.J. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely as RNs spend more time on direct patient care. *Am J Nurs*. 105(11):58-70.
- ¹⁴ Dorr, D.A., Horn, S.D., & Smout, R.J. (2005). Cost analysis of nursing home registered nurse staffing times. *J. of Amer Geriatrics Society*, 53: 840-845.
- ¹⁵ Castle, N.G. & Anderson, R.A. (2011). Caregiver staffing in nursing homes and their influence on quality of care. *Medical Care*, 49(6):545-552.
- ¹⁶ Horn, S.D., Buerhaus, P., Bergstrom, N., Smout, R.J. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely as RNs spend more time on direct patient care. *Am J Nurs*. 105(11):58-70.
- ¹⁷ Dorr, D.A., Horn, S.D., & Smout, R.J. (2005). Cost analysis of nursing home registered nurse staffing times. *J. of Amer Geriatrics Society*, 53: 840-845.
- ¹⁸ Wan, T.T.H., Zhang, N.J. & Unruh, L. (2006). Predictors of resident outcome improvement in nursing homes. *Western J. Of Nursing Research*. 28 (8):974-993.
- ¹⁹ Castle, N.G. & Anderson, R.A. (2011). Caregiver staffing in nursing homes and their influence on quality of care. *Medical Care*, 49(6):545-552.
- ²⁰ Castle, N.G. & Anderson, R.A. (2011). Caregiver staffing in nursing homes and their influence on quality of care. *Medical Care*, 49(6):545-552.
- ²¹ Alexander, G.L. 2008. An Analysis of Nursing Home Quality Measures and Staffing. *Quality Management in Health Care*. 17 (3):242-51.
- ²² Horn, S.D., Buerhaus, P., Bergstrom, N., Smout, R.J. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely as RNs spend more time on direct patient care. *Am J Nurs*. 105(11):58-70.
- ²³ Dorr, D.A., Horn, S.D., & Smout, R.J. (2005). Cost analysis of nursing home registered nurse staffing times. *J. of Amer Geriatrics Society*, 53: 840-845.
- ²⁴ Lin, H. (2014). Revisiting the relationship between nurse staffing and quality of care in nursing homes: An instrumental variables approach. *J. of Health Economics*, 37: 13-24.
- ²⁵ Horn, S.D., Bender S.A., Ferguson, M.L., Smout, R.J. et al (2004). The national pressure ulcer long-term care study: Pressure ulcer development in long-term care residents. *J. American Geriatrics Society*, 52: 359-367.

-
- ²⁶ Horn, S.D., Buerhaus, P., Bergstrom, N., Smout, R.J. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely as RNs spend more time on direct patient care. *Am J Nurs.* 105(11):58-70.
- ²⁷ Simmons, S.F., Schnelle, J.F. (2004). Individualized feeding assistance care for nursing home residents: staffing requirements to implement two interventions. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 59(9):M966-73.
- ²⁸ Simmons, S.F., Keeler, E., Zhuo, X., Hickey, K.A., Sato, H.W., Schnelle, J.F. (2008). Prevention of unintentional weight loss in nursing home residents: a controlled trial of feeding assistance. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* Aug;56(8):1466-73.
- ²⁹ Horn, S.D., Bender S.A., Ferguson, M.L., Smout, R.J. et al (2004). The national pressure ulcer long-term care study: Pressure ulcer development in long-term care residents. *J. American Geriatrics Society*, 52: 359-367.
- ³⁰ Horn, S.D., Bender S.A., Ferguson, M.L., Smout, R.J. et al (2004). The national pressure ulcer long-term care study: Pressure ulcer development in long-term care residents. *J. American Geriatrics Society*, 52: 359-367.
- ³¹ Phillips, L.J., Birtley, N.M., Petroski, G.F., Siem, C., Rantz, M. (2018). An observational study of antipsychotic medication use among long-stay residents without qualifying diagnoses. *J. Psychiatry Mental Health Nursing.* 25(8):463-474.
- ³² Castle, N.G. & Anderson, R.A. (2011). Caregiver staffing in nursing homes and their influence on quality of care. *Medical Care*, 49(6):545-552.
- ³³ Wan, T.T.H., Zhang, N.J. & Unruh, L. (2006). Predictors of resident outcome improvement in nursing homes. *Western J. Of Nursing Research.* 28 (8):974-993.
- ³⁴ Park, J. and Stearns S.C. (2009). Effects of state minimum staffing standards on nursing home staffing and quality of care. *Health Serv Res.* 44(1):56-78.
- ³⁵ Uchida-Nakakoji, M., Stone, P. W., Schmitt, S. K., & Phibbs, C. S. (2015). Nurse workforce characteristics and infection risk in VA Community Living Centers: A longitudinal analysis. *Medical Care*, **53**, 261–267.
- ³⁶ Trivedi, T.K., DeSalvo, T., Lee, L., Palumbo, A., Moll, M., Curns, A., Hall, A.J., Patel, M., Parashar, U.D., Lopman, B.A. (2012). Hospitalizations and mortality associated with norovirus outbreaks in nursing homes, 2009-2010. *JAMA.* Oct 24;308(16):1668-75.
- ³⁷ Leland NE, Gozalo P, Teno J, Mor V. (2012). Falls in newly admitted nursing home residents: a national study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 60(5):939-45.
- ³⁸ Spector, W., Shaffer, T., Potter, D.E., Correa-de-Araujo, R., Rhona Limcangco, M. (2007). Risk factors associated with the occurrence of fractures in U.S. nursing homes: resident and facility characteristics and prescription medications. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 55(3):327-33.
- ³⁹ Xing, J., Mukamel, D. B., & Temkin-Greener, H. (2013). Hospitalizations of nursing home residents in the last year of life: Nursing home characteristics and variation in potentially avoidable hospitalizations. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, **61**, 1900–1908.
- ⁴⁰ Spector, W.D., Limcangco, R., Williams, C., Rhodes, W., Hurd, D. (2013). Potentially avoidable hospitalizations for elderly long-stay residents in nursing homes. *Med Care.* 2013 Aug; 51(8):673-81.
- ⁴¹ Min, A. and Hong, H.C. (2019). Effect of nurse staffing on rehospitalizations and emergency department visits among short-stay nursing home residents: A cross-sectional study using the US nursing home compare database. *Geriatr Nurs.*, 40 (2):160-165
- ⁴² Konetzka, R.T., Spector, W. & Limcangco, M.R. (2007). Reducing hospitalizations from long-term care settings. *Medical Care Research & Review*, 65:40-66.
- ⁴³ Simmons, S.F., Durkin, D.W., Rahman, A.N., Choi, L., Beuscher, L., Schnelle, J.F. (2013). Resident characteristics related to the lack of morning care provision in long-term care. *Gerontologist.* 53(1):151-61.
- ⁴⁴ Schnelle, J.F., Schroyer, L.D., Saraf, A.A., and Simmons, S.F. (2016). Determining nurse aide staffing requirements to provide care based on resident workload: A discrete event simulation model. *J. American Medical Directors Association.* 17:970-977.
- ⁴⁵ Konetzka, R.T., Stearns, S.C., Park, J. (2008). The staffing-outcomes relationship in nursing homes. *Health Serv Res.* 43(3):1025-42.
- ⁴⁶ Trivedi, T.K., DeSalvo, T., Lee, L., Palumbo, A., Moll, M., Curns, A., Hall, A.J., Patel, M., Parashar, U.D., Lopman, B.A. (2012). Hospitalizations and mortality associated with norovirus outbreaks in nursing homes, 2009-2010. *JAMA.* Oct 24;308(16):1668-75.

-
- ⁴⁷ Tong PK. (2011). The effects of California minimum nurse staffing laws on nurse labor and patient mortality in skilled nursing facilities. *Health Econ.* 20(7):802-16.
- ⁴⁸ Harrington C., Zimmerman D., Karon S.L., Robinson J., Beutel P. (2000). Nursing Home Staffing and Its Relationship to Deficiencies. *Journal of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Science and Social Science.* 55(5): S278-87.
- ⁴⁹ Castle, N.G., Wagner, L.M., Ferguson, J.C. & Handler, S.M.. (2011). Nursing home deficiency citations for safety. *J. Aging and Social Policy*, 23 (1):34-57.
- ⁵⁰ Kim, H., Harrington, C. & Greene, W. (2009). Registered nurse staffing mix and quality of care in nursing homes: A longitudinal analysis. *Gerontologist*, 49 (1):81-90.
- ⁵¹ Rau, J. *Coronavirus Stress Test: Many 5-Star Nursing Homes Have Infection-Control Lapses*, Kaiser Health News (Mar. 4, 2020), <https://khn.org/news/coronavirus-preparedness-infection-control-lapses-at-top-rated-nursing-homes/>.
- ⁵² Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MEDPAC). *Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy*. Chapter 7. Washington, D.C. March 2022.
- ⁵³ Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission (MACPAC). *State's Medicaid fee-for-services nursing facilities payment policies*. 2021. Washington, DC: MACPAC.
- ⁵⁴ Schnelle, J.F., Schroyer, L.D., Saraf, A.A., and Simmons, S.F. (2016). Determining nurse aide staffing requirements to provide care based on resident workload: A discrete event simulation model. *J. American Medical Directors Association.* 17:970-977.
- ⁵⁵ Hawk T, White EM, Bishnoi C, Schwartz LB, Baier RR, Gifford DR. Facility characteristics and costs associated with meeting proposed minimum staffing levels in skilled nursing facilities. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2022; 1-10. doi:10.1111/jgs.17678.
- ⁵⁶ Bowblis, JR. The need for an economically feasible nursing home staffing regulation: evaluating an acuity-based nursing staff benchmark. *Innovation in Aging.* March, 2022. DOI.org/10.1093/geroni/igac017.
- ⁵⁷ McCarthy Z. Buying boom to continue in skilled nursing as private equity keeps 'chasing deals.' *Skilled Nursing News.* February 22, 2022. https://skillednursingnews.com/2022/02/buying-boom-to-continue-in-skilled-nursing-as-private-equity-keeps-chasing-deals/?euid=eed8298f98&utm_source=snn-newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=ee74e728d7?euid=0ae75c2624&utm_source=snn-newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=b5189a9a03
- ⁵⁸ Stulick A. Why institutional capital is critical for skilled nursing, despite White House rhetoric. *Skilled Nursing News.* April 3, 2022. https://skillednursingnews.com/2022/04/why-institutional-capital-is-critical-for-skilled-nursing-despite-white-house-rhetoric/?euid=c78589db4d&utm_source=snn-newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=3af919ddf6.
- ⁵⁹ Zorn, A. New SNF buyers drive busy acquisition market as regulatory future remains uncertain. *Skilled Nursing News.* May 4, 2022. <https://skillednursingnews.com/2022/05/new-snf-buyers-drive-busy-acquisition-market-as-regulatory-future-remains-uncertain/>
- ⁶⁰ Kingsley, D.E. and Harrington, C. COVID-19 had little impact on publicly-traded nursing home companies. *JAGS.* 2021; 69:2099–2102.
- ⁶¹ Kingsley DE, Harrington C. Financial and quality metrics of a large, publicly traded U.S. nursing home chain in the Age of Covid-19. *International J. Health Serv.*, 2022. doi.org/10.1177/00207314221077649
- ⁶² See *LTCCC Alert: NY Nursing Homes Admit Excess Profits*, available at <https://nursinghome411.org/nys-provider-lawsuit/>.
- ⁶³ Comondore, V.R., P.J. Devereaux, Q. Zhou, S.B. Stone, et al.. Quality of care in for-profit and not-for-profit nursing homes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal.* 2009; 339:b2732.
- ⁶⁴ Hillmer, M.P., Wodchis, W.P., Gill, S.S., Anderson, G.M. and Rochon, P.A. Nursing home profit status and quality of care: Is there any evidence of an association? *Medical Care Research and Review.* 2005; 62 (2):139-166.
- ⁶⁵ Harrington, C., Olney, B., Carrillo, H., Kang, T. Nurse staffing and deficiencies in the largest for-profit chains and chains owned by private equity companies. *Health Serv Res.* 2012; 47(1 pt I):106–128.

-
- ⁶⁶ Rau, J. and Lucas, E. 1,400 nursing homes get lower Medicare ratings because of staffing concerns. *Kaiser Health News*. July 30, 2018. <https://khn.org/news/1400-nursing-homes-get-lower-medicare-ratings-because-of-staffing-concerns/>
- ⁶⁷ O’Neill, C., Harrington, C., Kitchener, M., Saliba, D. Quality of care in nursing homes: an analysis of the relationships among profit, quality, and ownership. *Med Care*. 2003; 41(12):1318–1330.
- ⁶⁸ Government Accountability Office. *CMS’s specific focus facility methodology should better target the most poorly performing facilities which tend to be chain affiliated and for-profit*. GAO-09-689. Washington, D.C.: GAO. August, 2009.
- ⁶⁹ Harrington, C., Olney, B., Carrillo, H., Kang, T. Nurse staffing and deficiencies in the largest for-profit chains and chains owned by private equity companies. *Health Serv Res*. 2012; 47(1 pt I):106–128.
- ⁷⁰ Harrington, C., Jacobsen, F.F., Panos, J., Pollock, A., Sutaria, S., Szebehely, M. Marketization in long-term care: A cross-country comparison of large for-profit nursing home chains. *Health Services Insights*. 2017; 10:1-23.
- ⁷¹ Grabowski, D.C., Feng, Z., Hirth, R., Rahman, M., Mor, V. Effect of nursing home ownership on the quality of post-acute care: An instrumental variables approach. *J. of Health Economics*. 2013;14; 32(1):12-21.
- ⁷² Government Accountability Office (GAO). *Private Investment Homes Sometimes Differed from Others in Deficiencies, Staffing, and Financial Performance*. (GAO-11-571): July 15, 2011. <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-11-571>
- ⁷³ Gupta, A., Howell, S.T., Yannelis, C., and Gupta, A. Does private equity investment in healthcare benefit patients? Evidence from nursing Homes. Philadelphia, PA: Wharton School. February, 2020.
- ⁷⁴ Gandi, A., Song, Y. and Upadrashta, P. Private equity, consumers, and competition: Evidence from the nursing home industry. Santa Monica, CA: UCLA, June 12, 2020.
- ⁷⁵ Stevenson, D., Bramson, J.S., Grabowski, D.C. Nursing home ownership trends and their impacts on quality of care: A study using detailed ownership data from Texas. *J. of Aging & Social Policy*. 2013; 25:30-47.
- ⁷⁶ Harrington, C., Ross, L., Kang, T. Hidden ownership, hidden profits, and poor quality of nursing home care: A case study. *International Journal of Health Services*. 2015;45 (4): 779-800.
- ⁷⁷ Harrington, C., Olney, B., Carrillo, H., Kang, T. Nurse staffing and deficiencies in the largest for-profit chains and chains owned by private equity companies. *Health Serv Res*. 2012; 47(1 pt I):106–128.
- ⁷⁸ Kingsley DE, Harrington C. Financial and quality metrics of a large, publicly traded U.S. nursing home chain in the Age of Covid-19. *International J. Health Serv.*, 2022. doi.org/10.1177/00207314221077649
- ⁷⁹ Rau J. Care suffers as more nursing homes fed money into corporate webs. *New York Times*. January 7, 2018. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/02/business/nursing-homes-care-corporate.html>
- ⁸⁰ Rau J. Care suffers as more nursing homes fed money into corporate webs. *New York Times*. January 7, 2018. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/02/business/nursing-homes-care-corporate.html>
- ⁸¹ <https://www.medicare.gov/care-compare/>
- ⁸² Zhen, Qing et al., “Association between staff turnover and nursing home quality – evidence from payroll-based journal data”, *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2022;1–9.
- ⁸³ Bryant, Olalya Ayanna, "Employee Turnover in the Long-Term Care Industry" (2017). Walden Dissertations and Doctoral Studies. 3389, <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations/3389>; Al-Hussami, M., et al, “ Nurses’ turnover intentions.” *Int J Nurs Pract*, 2014 20: 79-88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12124>; Negarandeh R. Enhancing transition to workplace. *Nurs Midwifery Stud*. 2014 Apr;3(1):e17554. doi: 10.17795/nmsjournal17554. Epub 2014 Apr 17. PMID: 25414894; PMCID: PMC4228524. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2022. *The National Imperative to Improve Nursing Home Quality: Honoring Our Commitment to Residents, Families, and Staff*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26526>.
- ⁸⁴ <https://leadingage.org/sites/default/files/Making%20Care%20Work%20Pay%20Report.pdf>
- ⁸⁵ Ejaz, F., and L. Noelker. 2006. Tailored and ongoing training improve job retention. <https://phinational.org/wp-content/uploads/legacy/clearinghouse/EjazSummaryFinal.pdf>; Kihye Han, et al, Associations between state regulations, training length, perceived quality and job satisfaction among certified nursing assistants: Cross-sectional secondary data analysis, *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, Volume 51, Issue 8, 2014, Pages 1135-1141, ISSN 0020-7489, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.12.008>.

-
- ⁸⁶ Kihye Han, et al, Associations between state regulations, training length, perceived quality and job satisfaction among certified nursing assistants: Cross-sectional secondary data analysis, *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, Volume 51, Issue 8, 2014, Pages 1135-1141, ISSN 0020-7489,
- ⁸⁷ <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/read/12089/chapter/7#215>
- ⁸⁸ IOM. 2008. *Retooling for an aging America: Building the health care workforce*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- ⁸⁹ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2022. *The National Imperative to Improve Nursing Home Quality: Honoring Our Commitment to Residents, Families, and Staff*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26526>
- ⁹⁰ PHI. 2021. Direct care workers in the United States: Key facts. <https://www.phinational.org/resource/direct-care-workers-in-the-united-states-key-facts-2/>
- ⁹¹ Bates, T., G. Amah, and J. Coffman. 2018. Racial/ethnic diversity in the long-term care workforce. San Francisco, CA: UCSF Health Workforce Research Center on Long-term Care. (Sloane, et al 2021)
- ⁹² Stone, R. et al . Evaluation of the Wellspring model for improving nursing home quality. New York: Commonwealth Fund.
- ⁹³ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2022. *The National Imperative to Improve Nursing Home Quality: Honoring Our Commitment to Residents, Families, and Staff*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26526>
- ⁹⁴ Chen M.M. and Grabowski, D C. (2015) Intended and unintended consequences of minimum staffing standards for nursing homes. *Health Econ.* 24: 822-839; Hyer, K., Temple, A., and Johnson, C.D. (2009) Florida's efforts to improve quality of nursing home care through nurse staffing standards, regulation, and Medicaid reimbursement. *J. of Aging Social Policy.* 21 (4):318-37.
- ⁹⁵ Zhang, Ning Jackie; Unruh, Lynn; Wan, Thomas T H. *Nursing Economics*; Pitman Vol. 31, Iss. 6, (Nov/Dec 2013): 289-97 <https://www.proquest.com/openview/610487a33f3fe29e1111a99418315625/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=30765>
- ⁹⁶ 42 CFR § 483.70 (q)(i).
- ⁹⁷ 42 CFR § 43.35(b)(3).
- ⁹⁸ Summary Report, State Nursing Home Staffing Standards, National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care https://theconsumervoice.org/uploads/files/issues/CV_StaffingReport.pdf.
- ⁹⁹ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7328494/>
- ¹⁰⁰ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7328494/>
- ¹⁰¹ Dellefield, M.E., Castle, N.G., McGilton, K.S., & Spilsbury, K. (2015). The relationship between registered nurses and nursing home quality: An integrative review (2008-2014). *Nursing Economic\$,* 33 (2):95-108 and 116.
- ¹⁰² <https://journals.healio.com/doi/abs/10.3928/00989134-20170519-02>
- ¹⁰³ <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ajim.20330>
- ¹⁰⁴ Harrington, C., Swan, J.H., and Carrillo, H. *Nursing Staffing and Medicaid Reimbursement Rates*. *Health Services Research*, 2007: 43 (3): 1105-1129.
- ¹⁰⁵ Zhang, X., & Grabowski, D. C. (2004). Nursing home staffing and quality under the nursing home reform act. *The Gerontologist*, 44, 13–23. doi:10.1093/geront/44.1.13;. Bliesmer, Smayling, Kane, & Shannon (1998)
- ¹⁰⁶ Hyer, K., Temple, A., and Johnson, C.D. (2009) Florida's efforts to improve quality of nursing home care through nurse staffing standards, regulation, and Medicaid reimbursement. *J. of Aging Social Policy.* 21 (4):318-37. Chen and Grabowski.
- ¹⁰⁷ The National Consumer Voice for Quality Long Term Care. State nursing home staffing standards summary report. 2021. Washington, DC: https://theconsumervoice.org/uploads/files/issues/CV_StaffingReport.pdf
- ¹⁰⁸ Harrington, C, Kovner, C, Kayser-Jones, J, Berger, S, Mohler, M, Burke R. et al. Experts recommend minimum nurse staffing standards for nursing facilities in the United States. *Gerontologist*, 2000: 40 (1):1-12.
- ¹⁰⁹ Harrington, C., Schnelle, J.F., McGregor, M., Simmons, S.F. The need for minimum staffing standards in nursing homes. *Health Serv Insights.* 2016: 9:13-19. 228 Wunderlich, G.S., Sloan, F.A., and Davis, C.K. Editors, *Institute of Medicine. Nursing staff in hospitals and nursing homes: Is it Adequate?* Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1996.

¹¹⁰ Dellefield, M.E., Castle, N.G., McGilton, K.S., & Spilsbury, K. (2015). The relationship between registered nurses and nursing home quality: An integrative review (2008-2014). *Nursing Economics*, 33 (2):95-108 and 116.

¹¹¹ Dorr, D.A., Horn, S.D., & Smout, R.J. (2005). Cost analysis of nursing home registered nurse staffing times. *J. of Amer Geriatrics Society*, 53: 840-845. Office of Inspector General. (2013). Medicare nursing home resident hospitalization rates merit additional monitoring. November 2013 OEI-06-11-00040. Washington, DC: OIG

¹¹² Min M. Chen, David C. Grabowski, "Intended and Unintended Consequences of Minimum Staffing Standards for Nursing Homes," *Health Economics*, Vol. 24, No. 7, pages 822-839 (July 2015), <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hec.3063/abstract;jsessionid=D1C94F93FE069B7A5AAC7C44F6C202D6.f02t01> (abstract)

¹¹³ <https://thegreenhouseproject.org/>

¹¹⁴ Siobhan S. Sharkey, Sandra Hudak, Susan D. Horn, Bobbie James, "Frontline Caregiver Daily Practices: A Comparison Study of Traditional Nursing Homes and The Green House Project Sites," *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 59:126-131, 2011, <https://agsjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03209.x> (abstract)

¹¹⁵ Institute of Medicine, *Nursing and Nursing Education; Public Policies and Private Actions* (1983), Chapter VI, Alleviating Nursing Shortages in Medically Underserved Areas and Among Underserved Populations, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK218556/>